

Asymptotics of the densities of the first passage time distributions for Bessel diffusions

Kôhei UCHIYAMA

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology
Oh-okayama, Meguro Tokyo 152-8551
e-mail: uchiyama@math.titech.ac.jp

running head: the first passage time distribution

key words: first passage time, outside parabolic region, uniform estimate, Bessel diffusion, multidimensional Brownian motion

AMS Subject classification (2009): Primary 60J60, Secondary 60J65.

Abstract

This paper concerns the first passage times, denoted by τ_a , of Bessel processes to a point $a > 0$. We are interested in the case when the process starts at $x > a$ and compute the densities of the distributions of τ_a to obtain the asymptotic forms of them as $t \rightarrow \infty$ that are valid uniformly for $x > a$.

1 Introduction

This paper concerns the first passage times, denoted by τ_a , of Bessel processes of order $\nu \in \mathbf{R}$ to a point $a \geq 0$. We are interested in the case when the process starts at $x > a$ and compute the densities of the distributions of τ_a to obtain the exact asymptotic forms of them as $t \rightarrow \infty$ that are valid uniformly for $x > a$ for all orders ν . If $\nu = \pm 1/2$, we have well-known explicit expressions of them, which are often used in various circumstances, while otherwise there is quite restricted information on them that is available. In the case when $0 \leq x < a$ the distribution of τ_a solves a boundary value problem of the associated second order differential equation on the finite interval $(0, a)$ and the distribution of τ_a or its density is represented by means of the eigenfunction expansion ([1], [4], [8], etc.). In the case $x > a$, however, the region for the differential equation is the infinite interval $(0, \infty)$ and the corresponding representation becomes a generalized Fourier integral, which it seems hard to derive an asymptotic form of the density directly from and there have been only a few partial results as given in [11], [13], where $\nu = 0$ and relative ranges of x are restricted (in addition to the cases $\nu = \pm 1/2$). In a recent paper Hamana and Matumoto [5] have derived a certain explicit representation of the distribution of τ_a for the case $x > a$ (as well as for the case $0 \leq x < a$) and computed an asymptotic form of the distribution and in [6] they have also given corresponding results for the density but the uniform estimate of the density does not readily follow from their result. For the present investigation we are motivated by the study of Wiener sausage of Brownian bridge in $\mathbf{R}^d$ joining the origin to a point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^d$ over a time interval $[0, t]$ when $|\mathbf{x}|$ grows linearly with t ([15]): the volume of the sausage swept by a ball of radius a is expressed by using the density for τ_a with $x = |\mathbf{x}| > a$ and $\nu = (d-2)/2$. Although this concerns with the hitting time of multi-dimensional Brownian motion only, it would be mathematically natural to study the problem in the framework of the Bessel processes.

Let X_t be the Bessel process of order $\nu \in \mathbf{R}$, whose infinitesimal generator $\mathcal{L}^{(\nu)}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{L}^{(\nu)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{2\nu + 1}{2x} \cdot \frac{d}{dx}.$$

If $2\nu + 2$ is a positive integer, X_t represents the radial part of the standard $2\nu + 2$ -dimensional Brownian motion. If $\nu \geq -1$ we write d for $2\nu + 2$:

$$d = 2\nu + 2, \text{ or what is the same, } \nu = \frac{d}{2} - 1;$$

the process X_t is sometimes called the d -dimensional Bessel process. Let P_x be the probability law of the process X_t started at $x \geq 0$ and E_x the expectation by P_x . Let τ_a denote the first passage time of X_t to $a > 0$ and $p_t^\nu(x)$ the density of the distribution of τ_a :

$$q^\nu(x, t; a) = \frac{d}{dt} P_x[\tau_a \leq t].$$

We also write $q^{(d)}$ for q^ν where $d = 2\nu + 2$, if $\nu \geq -1$.

In what follows we suppose $\nu \geq 0$ unless stated otherwise explicitly. In the end of this introduction we shall observe that there is a simple relation between q^ν and $q^{-\nu}$ and the case $\nu < 0$ is reduced to the case $\nu > 0$ and vice versa. If $\nu \geq 0$, the origin is an entrance and non-exit boundary to the positive half line as is well-known. We shall use the two indices d and ν interchangeably, understanding that they are related as above. Put

$$p_t^\nu(x) = p_t^{(d)}(x) = (2\pi t)^{-d/2} e^{-x^2/2t}.$$

For the process X started at the origin $p_t^{(d)}(x)$ is the density w.r.t. the invariant measure $c_d x^{d-1} dx$ of the distribution of X_t , where $c_d = 2\pi^{d/2}/\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(d+1))$, the normalizing constant.

In [13] the present author obtains the following result among others. Put $\kappa = 2e^{-2\gamma}$, where $\gamma = -\int_0^\infty e^{-u} \lg u \, du$ (Euler's constant).

Theorem 1 *If $\nu = 0$, then uniformly for $x > a$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$*

$$q^{(2)}(x, t; a) = \frac{\lg(\frac{1}{2}\kappa x^2/a^2)}{t(\lg(\kappa t/a^2))^2} e^{-x^2/2t} + \begin{cases} \frac{2\gamma \lg(t/x^2)}{t(\lg t)^3} + O\left(\frac{1}{t(\lg t)^3}\right) & \text{for } x^2 < t, \\ O\left(\frac{1 + [\lg(x^2/t)]^2}{x^2(\lg t)^3}\right) & \text{for } x^2 \geq t. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

This theorem does not identify in any sense the asymptotic form of $q^{(2)}(x, t; a)$ for $x > \sqrt{4t \lg \lg t}$ (see Lemma 10 in Section 2). The objective of this paper is to complement this (Theorem 4), and at the same time also to obtain an asymptotic form of q^ν for $\nu > 0$ when the Bessel process is transient. For the latter we have the Green function, $G^{(d)}(x, y)$ say; we need to bring in $G(x) = G^{(d)}(x); = G^{(d)}(x, 0) = G^{(d)}(0, x)$, or explicitly

$$G(x) = \int_0^\infty p_t^{(d)}(x) dt = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2} - 1)}{2\pi^{d/2}} \cdot \frac{1}{x^{d-2}}.$$

Theorem 2 Let $\nu > 0$. If $\nu \neq 1$, then uniformly for $x > a$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$q^{(d)}(x, t; a) = \frac{(a^2/2)^\nu}{\Gamma(\nu) t^{\nu+1}} \left[e^{-x^2/2t} - \left(\frac{a}{x}\right)^{2\nu} e^{-a^2/2t} \right] + O\left(\frac{1 \wedge (\sqrt{t}/x)^{\nu+(\frac{1}{2} \wedge \nu)}}{t^{\nu+1+(\nu \wedge 1)}}\right) \quad (2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{G(a)} \left[p_t^{(d)}(x) - \left(\frac{a}{x}\right)^{d-2} p_t^{(d)}(a) \right] + O\left(\frac{1 \wedge (\sqrt{t}/x)^{[(d-1)/2] \wedge (d-2)}}{t^{d/2+(\nu \wedge 1)}}\right). \quad (3)$$

In the case $\nu = 1$ the same estimate holds true if the error term given by the O -symbol is replaced by

$$O\left(\frac{1 + \lg(t/x^2)}{t^3}\right) \quad \text{for } a < x < \sqrt{t}; \quad O\left(\frac{\lg t}{t^3} \left(\frac{\sqrt{t}}{x}\right)^{3/2}\right) \quad \text{for } x > \sqrt{t}.$$

Here (and here after) $a \vee b = \max\{a, b\}$, $a \wedge b = \min\{a, b\}$ for a, b real.

REMARK 1. (i) For random walks on the d -dimensional square lattice $\mathbf{Z}^d$ we have analogues of Theorems 1 and 2 [12]. The form of the principal term in the formula (3) is intrinsically the same as and in fact suggested by one for the walks. For $d = 2$ Theorem 2 (or Proposition 9) provides an improvement of Theorem 1.4 of [12] in view of Theorem 1.5 of it.

(ii) In Theorems 1 and 2 the phrase “uniformly for $x > a$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$ ” may be replaced by more logically appropriate one “for $x > a, t > 2$ ”. We have adopted the expression as given above to emphasize that the formulae asserted is meaningful only when t is large (the estimates are valid but poor as $x \rightarrow \infty$ with t being confined in a finite interval). The same remark may be applied to similar statements in which Landau’s o symbol is not involved.

(iii) In the proof of Theorem 2 we give a more precise expression of the error term, which shows that the order of magnitude cannot be improved at least for $x < \sqrt{t}$.

Define a function $\Lambda_\nu(y), y \geq 0$ by

$$\Lambda_\nu(y) = \frac{(2\pi)^{\nu+1}}{2y^\nu K_\nu(y)} \quad y > 0$$

and $\Lambda_\nu(0) = \lim_{y \downarrow 0} \Lambda_\nu(y)$. Here K_ν is the modified Bessel function of second kind of order ν ; $\Lambda_\nu(0)$ is well defined, and in fact $\Lambda(0) = 0$ and for $\nu > 0$,

$$\Lambda_\nu(0) = \frac{2\pi^{\nu+1}}{\Gamma(\nu)} = \frac{1}{G(1)}.$$

Theorem 3 Let $\nu \geq 0$. Then uniformly for $x \geq \sqrt{t}$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$q^{(d)}(x, t; a) = a^{d-2} \Lambda_\nu\left(\frac{ax}{t}\right) p_t^{(d)}(x) (1 + o(1)). \quad (4)$$

If $\nu > 0$, (4) holds true as $x \wedge t \rightarrow \infty$ while this is not true for $\nu = 0$, as is ascertained by referring to Theorems 1 and 2.

The two-dimensional case is particularly interesting and deserves to state separately.

Theorem 4 Uniformly for $x > \sqrt{t}$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$\frac{q^{(2)}(x, t, a)}{p_t^{(2)}(x)} = \frac{\pi}{K_0(ax/t)} (1 + o(1)).$$

Substitution from the formulae $K_0(u) = -\lg(\frac{1}{2}e^\gamma u) + O(u^2 \lg u)$ ($u \downarrow 0$) and $K_0(u) = \sqrt{\pi/2u} e^{-u}(1 + O(1/u))$ makes the right-hand side above explicit if x/t goes to 0 or ∞ . We shall actually compute errors in the formula of Theorem 4 (Propositions 9 and 13) so that for $x > \sqrt{t}$ (outside the parabolic region),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q^{(2)}(x, t, a)}{p_t^{(2)}(x)} &= \frac{\pi}{\lg(t/x)} + O\left(\frac{1}{[\lg(t/x)]^2}\right) && \text{if } x/t \rightarrow 0, \\ &= \sqrt{2\pi ax/t} e^{ax/t} (1 + O(t/x)) && \text{if } x/t \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The factor $e^{ax/t}$ in the last formula may be understood to be natural by comparing with the Gaussian kernel $p_t^{(2)}(x - a)$.

Theorem 5 *For each $\nu \geq 0$, for $t < 1$ and $x > a$*

$$q^{(d)}(x, t; a) = \frac{x - a}{\sqrt{2\pi} t^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x - a)^2}{2t}\right) \left(\frac{a}{x}\right)^{(d-1)/2} (1 + O(t/a^2)).$$

From the scaling property of Bessel processes it follows that

$$q(x, t; a) = a^{-2} q(x/a, t/a^2; 1).$$

For the proof of the foregoing theorems we shall mostly consider only the case $a = 1$ and write $q(x, t)$ for $q(x, t; 1)$.

The estimation of $q(x, t)$ is carried out in the following three cases

$$(i) \quad x < \sqrt{t}; \quad (ii) \quad \sqrt{t} < x \leq Mt \text{ (with } M \text{ arbitrarily fixed)}; \quad (iii) \quad x/t \rightarrow \infty,$$

each being discussed in Sections 2, 3 and 4, respectively. The methods employed in these cases are different from one another. Roughly speaking, for the case (i) we apply the Laplace inversion formula and the Cauchy integral theorem, which provides somewhat finer estimates (Proposition 7) than those given in Theorem 2. For the case (ii) we exploit the fact that any Bessel process of order $\nu > -1$ can be decomposed as a sum of two independent Bessel processes and apply the result of the case (i). This gives some error estimate to the asserted asymptotic form of q in the case $x/t \rightarrow 0$ (Propositions 9 and 12). To include the case $x/t \rightarrow \infty$ an additional argument is employed. For (iii) we use the expression of q given in the following

Lemma 6 *Let $\beta = (d + 1)(3 - d)/8 = (\frac{1}{4} - \nu^2)/2$. Then*

$$q^{(d)}(x, t; a) = \left(\frac{a}{x}\right)^{(d-1)/2} E_x^{BM} \left[\exp \left\{ \beta \int_0^t \frac{ds}{B_s^2} \right\} \middle| \tau_a = t \right] \frac{x - a}{\sqrt{2\pi} t^{3/2}} e^{-(x-a)^2/2t}, \quad (6)$$

where the conditional expectation is taken w.r.t. the probability measure of the standard linear Brownian motion B_t .

Theorem 5 follows immediately from this lemma. If x/t is large enough, one can evaluate the conditional expectation in (6) for large t , of which the dependence on ν comes only from β . Otherwise, however, a direct evaluation of it seems hard; our results rather give a precise estimate of it valid uniform in x . The proof of Lemma 6 is given in the subsection 4.1.

Throughout the paper C, C', C'' , etc. will be used to denote constants whose precise values are not important for the present purpose; the same letter may indicate different constants depending on the occasions where it occurs.

We conclude this introduction by mentioning some simple facts for the case $\nu < 0$. The formula (7) given in the beginning of the next section entails that for $\nu < 0$

$$E_x[e^{-\lambda\tau_a}] = E_x^{(|\nu|)}[e^{-\lambda\tau_a^{(|\nu|)}}] \frac{x^{2|\nu|}}{a^{2|\nu|}}$$

(where the super script (κ) designates the order of the Bessel process that is concerned) , so that

$$q^\nu(x, t; a) = q^{|\nu|}(x, t; a) \frac{x^{2|\nu|}}{a^{2|\nu|}}.$$

The Bessel process of negative order visits the origin in a finite time with probability one and we have explicit formula

$$q^\nu(x, t; 0) = \Lambda_{|\nu|}(0) x^{2|\nu|} p_t^{|\nu|}(x)$$

due to the integral representation $K_\nu(z) = 2^{-1}(z/2)^\nu \int_0^\infty \exp(-u^{-1} - \frac{1}{4}z^2u) u^{\nu-1} du$. By a comparison argument we have the inequality

$$\int_0^t q^\nu(x-a, s; 0) ds < \int_0^t q^\nu(x, s; a) ds \quad (x > a, t > 0) \quad \text{if} \quad -2^{-1} < \nu < 0$$

and the same one but in the opposite direction if $\nu < -2^{-1}$.

2 Proof of Theorem 2

For any $\nu \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$E_x[\exp\{-\lambda\tau_a\}] = \frac{K_\nu(x\sqrt{2\lambda})x^{-\nu}}{K_\nu(a\sqrt{2\lambda})a^{-\nu}} \quad (\lambda > 0, x > a > 0), \quad (7)$$

as is well-known and may be derived by solving the problem: $\mathcal{L}^{(\nu)}U = \lambda U$ ($x > a$) with the lateral conditions $U(a+0) = 1$ and U being positive and decreasing, of which solution is unique. (See (10) below.)

For $\nu = 1/2$ (i.e, $d = 3$) we have a particularly simple expression of $q^{(3)}$: for $x > a$

$$q^{(d=3)}(x, t; a) = \frac{ae^{-(x-a)^2/2t}}{t\sqrt{2\pi t}} \left(1 - \frac{a}{x}\right), \quad (8)$$

which trivializes this special case of Theorems 2 and 3 and is helpful for making a guess at the asymptotic form of q in general cases.

In what follows we let $\nu \geq 0$ and, when there is no risk of confusion, we suppress the super-script (d) from $q^{(d)}(x, t; a)$ and $p_t^{(d)}(x)$ except for the statement of theorems or lemmas.

Put $G_\lambda(x) = \int_0^\infty p_t(x) e^{-\lambda t} dt$. We know

$$G_\lambda(x) = \frac{2}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2\lambda}}{x}\right)^\nu K_\nu(x\sqrt{2\lambda}) \quad (9)$$

([3], p.146). It is convenient (and natural) to write the representation (7) in the form

$$E_x[\exp\{-\lambda\tau_a\}] = \frac{G_\lambda(x)}{G_\lambda(a)}, \quad (10)$$

For $\nu > 0$ let $G(x) = \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} G_\lambda(x)$, so that

$$G(x) = \int_0^\infty p_t(x) dt = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2} - 1)}{2\pi^{d/2} x^{d-2}}.$$

If ν is not integer, $K_\nu(z) = (\pi/2 \sin(\pi\nu)) [I_{-\nu}(z) - I_\nu(z)]$, where I_ν is the modified Bessel function of the first kind of order ν and given by

$$I_\nu(z) = \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^\nu \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(z/2)^{2k}}{\Gamma(\nu + k + 1)\Gamma(k + 1)} \quad (11)$$

for $|\arg z| < \pi$ ([9], p.108).

Proposition 7 *Let $\nu > 0$ and $M > 0$. For $a < x < M\sqrt{t}$,*

$$q^{(d)}(x, t; a) = \frac{1}{G(a)} \left[p_t^{(d)}(x) - \left(\frac{a}{x}\right)^{d-2} p_t^{(d)}(a) \right] + a^{-2} \eta(x/a, t/a^2), \quad (12)$$

with the function $\eta(x, t)$, $x > 1, t > 2$ admitting the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(x, t) &= O\left(\frac{1 - x^{-1}}{t^{\nu+2}}\right) \quad \text{if } \nu > 1; \quad = O\left(\frac{1 - x^{-1}}{t^{2\nu+1}}\right) \quad \text{if } 0 < \nu < 1 \\ \text{and } \eta(x, t) &= O\left(\frac{1 - x^{-1}}{t^{\nu+2}} \lg t\right) \quad \text{if } \nu = 1. \end{aligned}$$

(See (18), (27) and (28) for more exact forms of $\eta(x, t)$.)

REMARK 2. One might suspect that the function $q^*(x, t) := [G(a)]^{-1} p_t^{(d)}(x - a) [1 - (a/x)^{d-2}]$, an analogue to the exact form of $q^{(3)}$, can take place of the leading term in the formula (12). Since the difference of them is at most the magnitude of $O(x^{(2-2\nu)\vee 1}/t^{\nu+2})$, this is true if $\nu < 1$; in the case $\nu > 1$, however, the difference becomes much larger than $\eta(t, x)$ as x gets large, so that the replacement causes a larger error term.

Proof of Proposition 7. The Laplace inversion of (10) gives

$$q(x, t; a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{G_z(x)}{G_z(a)} e^{tz} dz. \quad (13)$$

For the evaluation of this integral we follow the argument made in [12] for the random walk of dimensions $d \geq 3$. Motivated by it we decompose

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G_\lambda(x)}{G_\lambda(a)} &= \frac{G_\lambda(x) - (a/x)^{2\nu} G_\lambda(a)}{G_\lambda(a)} + \frac{a^{2\nu}}{x^{2\nu}} \\ &= \frac{G_\lambda(x) - (a/x)^{2\nu} G_\lambda(a)}{G(a)} + R(\lambda; x) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where

$$R(\lambda; x) = \left[\frac{1}{G_\lambda(a)} - \frac{1}{G(a)} \right] [G_\lambda(x) - (a/x)^{2\nu} G_\lambda(a)] + \frac{a^{2\nu}}{x^{2\nu}}.$$

By (9) the contribution to (13) of the first term on the right-hand side of (14) equals

$$\frac{1}{G(a)} [p_t(x) - (a/x)^{2\nu} p_t(a)].$$

The error term in Proposition 7 is then written as

$$\eta(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} R(z, x) e^{tz} dz. \quad (15)$$

As $z \rightarrow \infty$

$$K_\nu(z) = (\pi/2z)^{1/2} e^{-z} (1 + O(1/z)) \quad (|\arg z| \leq \pi). \quad (16)$$

Hence $G_z(x)/G_z(a) = O(e^{-(x-a)\sqrt{2z}})$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$ (with x fixed) and we see that the function $R(z, x)$ rapidly approaches zero as $z \rightarrow \infty$ in the sector $|\arg z| > \pi - \delta$ for any $\delta > 0$. This together with the fact that $K_\nu(z)$ has no zeros on the right half plane $\Re z \geq 0$ (cf. [16]: p. 511) (hence $G_z(x)$ has no zeros on $-\pi \leq \arg z \leq \pi$) permits us to apply Cauchy's integral formula to transform (15) into

$$\eta(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty \left[-R(-u + i0, x) + R(-u - i0, x) \right] e^{-tu} du. \quad (17)$$

Let ν be not an integer. We show that uniformly for $a < x < M\sqrt{t}$,

$$\eta(x, t) = \frac{-\nu - 1}{2^{\nu+1}(\nu - 1)\Gamma(\nu)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{x^{2\nu}} + \frac{x^2 - 1}{x^{2\nu}} \right) \frac{1}{t^{\nu+2}} - B_0^2 \frac{1 - x^{-2\nu}}{\Gamma(-2\nu)t^{2\nu+1}} + r(t, x) \quad (18)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} |r(t, x)| &\leq \frac{C}{t^{2\nu+1}} \left[\left(\frac{x^2}{t} \right) \vee \frac{1}{t^\nu} \right] \left(1 - \frac{1}{x} \right) \quad (0 < \nu < 1), \\ &\leq \frac{C}{t^{\nu+2}} \cdot \frac{x^2}{t} \left(1 - \frac{1}{x} \right) \quad (\nu > 1), \end{aligned}$$

where the constant B_0 is given in (20) below and $1/\Gamma(-n) = 0$ if n is a non-negative integer. The estimation η is simple apart from the uniformity in x , which we must take care of in dealing with the dependence on x . Let $a = 1$ for simplicity. Recall the definitions of $G_\lambda(1)$ and $G(1)$. From the power series expansion of I_ν given in (11) we then deduce straightforwardly

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G_\lambda(1)}{G(1)} &= \frac{(\sqrt{2\lambda})^\nu [I_{-\nu}(\sqrt{2\lambda}) - I_\nu(\sqrt{2\lambda})]}{\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} (\sqrt{2\lambda})^\nu I_{-\nu}(\sqrt{2\lambda})} \\ &= \Gamma(1 - \nu) \left(\sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(\lambda/2)^k}{\Gamma(-\nu + k + 1)k!} + \left(\frac{\sqrt{2\lambda}}{2} \right)^{2\nu} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(\lambda/2)^k}{\Gamma(\nu + k + 1)k!} \right) \\ &= [1 + A_1\lambda + A_2\lambda^2 + \cdots] - \lambda^\nu [B_0 + B_1\lambda + \cdots] \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

with

$$A_1 = [2(1 - \nu)]^{-1}, \quad B_0 = 2^{-\nu} \Gamma(1 - \nu) / \Gamma(\nu + 1). \quad (20)$$

Let $f(\lambda) = G_\lambda(1)/G(1) - 1$. Then

$$\frac{1}{G_\lambda(1)} - \frac{1}{G(1)} = \frac{1}{G(1)} \left[\frac{1}{1 + f(\lambda)} - 1 \right] = -\frac{f(\lambda)}{G(1)} + \frac{[f(\lambda)]^2}{G_\lambda(1)}. \quad (21)$$

Also, noting

$$x^{2\nu} G_\lambda(x) = G_{x^2\lambda}(1),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x^{2\nu} \times \frac{G_\lambda(x) - (1/x)^{2\nu} G_\lambda(1)}{G(1)} &= \frac{G_{x^2\lambda}(1) - G_\lambda(1)}{G(1)} \\ &= A_1\lambda(x^2 - 1) - B_0\lambda^\nu(x^{2\nu} - 1) + H_\lambda(x), \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where $H_\lambda(x) = [A_2\lambda^2(x^4 - 1) + \dots] + [B_1\lambda^{\nu+1}(x^{2\nu+2} - 1) + \dots]$, the remainder term. Since $f(z) = O(|z|^{\nu/2-1/4})$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$ along the negative real line, the equality (19) entails

$$f(\lambda) = A_1\lambda - B_0\lambda^\nu + O(|\lambda|^{(\nu\wedge 1)+1}) \quad (|\lambda| < 1) \quad \text{and} \quad |f(-u \pm i0)| \leq C|u|^{\nu/2} \quad (u > 0).$$

From the power series expansions of $I_{\pm\nu}(z)$ it follows that $A_k = O(1/\Gamma(-\nu+k+1)k!)$, $B_k = O(1/\Gamma(\nu+k+1)k!)$.

With these preliminary discussions we now compute the integral (17). First consider

$$T_1(\lambda) := [f(\lambda)]^2[G_{x^2\lambda}(1) - G_\lambda(1)]/G_\lambda(1)$$

and observe that for $u > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |T_1(-u + i0) - T_1(-u - i0)| &\leq C_0 u^{2(\nu\wedge 1)} [u^\nu(x^{2\nu} - 1) + C_1 u^{\nu+1}(x^{2\nu+2} - 1) + \dots] \\ &\quad + C'_0 u^{2\nu} [u(x^2 - 1) + C'_1 u^2(x^4 - 1) + \dots] \end{aligned}$$

with certain constants C_k, C'_k that are dominated by a constant multiple of $2^k k^{3\nu}/(k!)^2$. Here we have exploited the fact that the terms of integral powers $c_n \lambda^n$ involved in $T_1(\lambda)$ cancels out in the difference on the left-hand side. Employing the simple inequality $x^s - 1 \leq (1 \vee s)(1 - x^{-1})x^s$ valid for all $x > 1, s > 0$ we infer that for $1 < x < M\sqrt{t}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty |T_1(-u + i0) - T_1(-u - i0)| e^{-tu} du \\ &\leq C \left(1 - \frac{1}{x}\right) \left(\frac{1}{t^{2(\nu\wedge 1)+1}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{k^{3\nu+1} 4^k x^{2(\nu+k)}}{t^{\nu+k} k!} + \frac{1}{t^{2\nu+1}} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{k^{3\nu+1} 4^k x^{2k}}{t^k k!} \right) \\ &\leq C' \left(1 - \frac{1}{x}\right) \left[\frac{1}{t^{2(\nu\wedge 1)+1}} \left(\frac{x^2}{t}\right)^\nu + \frac{1}{t^{2\nu+1}} \left(\frac{x^2}{t}\right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where C' depends on M . Next, in the same way we see that if $T_2(\lambda) = f(\lambda)H(\lambda)$,

$$\int_0^\infty |T_2(-u + i0) - T_2(-u - i0)| e^{-tu} du \leq C \left(1 - \frac{1}{x}\right) \left(\frac{x^2}{t}\right)^{\nu+1} \frac{1}{t^{\nu\wedge 1+1}}. \quad (24)$$

Thirdly let

$$F(\lambda) = -f(\lambda)(A_1\lambda(x^2 - 1) - B_0\lambda^\nu(x^{2\nu} - 1)),$$

which in view of (14), (20) and (22) would involve the principal part of $R(\lambda; x)x^{2\nu}$. Then,

$$F(\lambda) = A_1 B_0 \lambda^{\nu+1} (x^{2\nu} - 1 + x^2 - 1) (1 + C_1 \lambda + \dots) - B_0^2 \lambda^{2\nu} (x^{2\nu} - 1) (1 + C'_1 \lambda + \dots)$$

apart from the difference of an entire function, and for $s > -1$,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty [-(-u + i0)^s + (-u - i0)^s] e^{-tu} du = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-s)t^{s+1}}.$$

(Here the identity $\Gamma(1+s)\sin\pi s = -\pi/\Gamma(-s)$ is used; remember that $1/\Gamma(-n) = 0$ if n is non-negative integer.) Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty [-F(-u+i0) + F(-u-i0)]e^{-tu} du \\ &= \left(A_1 B_0 \frac{x^{2\nu} - 1 + x^2 - 1}{\Gamma(-\nu-1)t^{\nu+2}} - B_0^2 \frac{x^{2\nu} - 1}{\Gamma(-2\nu)t^{2\nu+1}} \right) [1 + O(1/t)]. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Finally collecting the bounds (17) and (19) through (24) (of which we divide each formula by $x^{2\nu}$ since we have multiplied it in (22)), noting $B_0/\Gamma(-\nu-1) = (\nu+1)/2^\nu\Gamma(\nu)$ and making elementary comparison of terms that appear on the right-hand sides of them we find (18) to be true.

Let ν be a positive integer. The arguments are similar to the above. In place of (19) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G_\lambda(1)}{G(1)} &= \frac{2}{(\nu-1)!} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\nu-1} \frac{(\nu-k-1)!}{2(k!)} \left(\frac{-\lambda}{2}\right)^k - \left(\frac{-\lambda}{2}\right)^\nu \left(\gamma + \lg \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}}\right) \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(\lambda/2)^k}{k!(\nu+k)!} \right] \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{-\lambda}{2}\right)^\nu g(\lambda) \\ &= [1 + A_1\lambda + A_2\lambda^2 + \cdots] - \lambda^\nu (\lg \lambda) [B_0 + B_1\lambda + \cdots], \end{aligned}$$

where $g(\lambda) = a_0 + a_1\lambda + \cdots$ is a certain entire function (with $a_0 = 1$ for $\nu = 1$), and

$$A_1 = \begin{cases} -2^{-1}[1 + \lg(2e^{-2\gamma})] & (\nu = 1), \\ -[2(\nu-1)]^{-1} & (\nu \geq 2) \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad B_0 = \frac{(-1)^\nu}{2^\nu \nu! (\nu-1)!}. \quad (26)$$

By noting

$$(2\pi)^{-1} \Im \left(-[\lg(-u+i0)]^k + [\lg(-u-i0)]^k \right) = -k(\lg u)^{k-1} \quad (k = 1, 2, u > 0)$$

we compute the integral in (17) to see that if ν is an integer greater than 1, then

$$\eta(x, t) = -(-1)^{\nu+1}(\nu+1)!A_1B_0 \left(1 - \frac{1}{x^{2\nu}} + \frac{x^2-1}{x^{2\nu}} \right) \frac{1}{t^{\nu+2}} + O\left(\frac{1-x^{-1}}{t^{\nu+2}} \cdot \frac{x^2}{t} \right). \quad (27)$$

(The coefficient of the leading term coincides with one appearing in (18), so that (18) is valid for $\nu = 2, 3, 4, \dots$) If $\nu = 1$ and f is defined as before, the leading term of $-f(\lambda)[G_{x^2\lambda}(1) - G_\lambda(1)]/G(1)$ being $(B_0^2\lambda^2 \lg \lambda)(-x^2 \lg(x^2\lambda) + \lg \lambda)$, we see

$$\eta(x, t) = -4B_0^2 \frac{(1-x^{-2}) \lg t - \lg x}{t^{\nu+2}} + O\left(\frac{1-x^{-1}}{t^{\nu+2}} \right). \quad (28)$$

The foregoing two formulae obviously implies the desired bound for $\eta(x, t)$. The proof of Proposition 7 is complete. $\square$

Proposition 8 *Let $\nu > 0$. Then the function $\eta(t, x)$ defined via (12) admits the estimates*

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(t, x) &= O\left(\frac{1}{t^{\nu+2}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{t}}{x} \right)^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}} \right) \quad \text{if } \nu > 1; \quad = O\left(\frac{1}{t^{2\nu+1}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{t}}{x} \right)^{\nu+(\frac{1}{2} \wedge \nu)} \right) \quad \text{if } 0 < \nu < 1 \\ \text{and} \quad \eta(t, x) &= O\left(\frac{\lg t}{t^{\nu+2}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{t}}{x} \right)^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}} \right) \quad \text{if } \nu = 1. \end{aligned}$$

that are valid uniformly for $x > \sqrt{t} > 2$.

Proof. We can proceed as in the preceding proof except that in place of (22) we make decomposition

$$x^{2\nu}(G_\lambda(x) - (1/x)^{2\nu}G_\lambda(1)) = G_{x^2\lambda}(1) - G(1) + [G(1) - G_\lambda(1)]$$

and estimate the contributions of the three terms on the right hand side separately. Let $\nu \neq 1$. It follows from the preceding proof that the contributions of the last two terms to $\eta(x, t)$ is bounded by a constant multiple of $x^{-2\nu}t^{-\nu-1} = t^{-2\nu-1}(\sqrt{t}/x)^{2\nu}$. As for the first term, on the one hand, we recall that $G_z(1) = C_\nu(2z)^{\nu/2}K_\nu(\sqrt{2z}) = C'_\nu z^{(2\nu-1)/4}e^{-\sqrt{2z}}(1 + o(1))$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$ to see that

$$x^{-2\nu}|f(-u \pm i0)G_{-x^2u \pm i0}(1)| \leq Cu^{1\wedge\nu}x^{-2\nu}(x^2u)^{(2\nu-1)/4} = Cu^{1\wedge\nu+\nu}(x^2u)^{-\nu/2-1/4}$$

for $u > 1/x^2$ (f is the same as before) and the integration over $u > 1/x^2$ of e^{-tu} times the last member yields the magnitude of the order $O(t^{-(1\wedge\nu)+\nu+1}(\sqrt{t}/x)^{\nu+1/2})$. On the other hand we have $x^{-2\nu}|f(-u \pm i0)G_{-x^2u \pm i0}(1)| \leq Cu^{1\wedge\nu}x^{-2\nu}$ for $0 < u < 1/x^2$ and the corresponding integral does not exceed the foregoing magnitude. By (17) we find the asserted bound for $\nu \neq 1$. The case $\nu = 1$ is omitted, it being similarly dealt with

3 Proof of Theorem 3 when x/t is bounded

In this section we prove the formula of Theorem 3 in the case when x/t is bounded. It is convenient to treat the cases $\nu = 0$ and $\nu > 0$ separately. In both cases one may suppose $x/t \rightarrow v$ and the subcases $v = 0$ and $v > 0$ are also separately treated since different arguments are employed for them, although the framework is the same. In the case $v = 0$ we shall provide estimates of the error terms, that are not given in Theorem 3.

3.1. The case $\nu = 0$

In the course of proof of Theorem 3 we shall prove the following proposition, which entails the formula of Theorem 3 in the case $x/t \rightarrow 0$.

Proposition 9 *Let $\nu = 0$. It holds that uniformly for $\sqrt{t} < x < t/2$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$*

$$q^{(2)}(x, t, a) = \frac{\pi}{K_0(ax/t)} p_t^{(2)}(x) + O\left(\frac{p_t^{(2)}(x)}{[\lg(t/x)]^2 + 1}\right).$$

For the proof of Proposition 9 we shall use Theorem 1, which it is convenient to reduce to the following slightly weaker form.

Lemma 10 *Let $\nu = 0$. Uniformly for $x > a$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\begin{aligned} q^{(2)}(x, t; a) &= 2\pi p_t^{(2)}(x) \left[\frac{\lg((x/a)^2)}{(\lg(t/a^2))^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{(\lg t)^2}\right) \right] \quad \text{for } x^2 < 2t \lg(\lg t), \\ &= 2\pi p_t^{(2)}(x) \left[\frac{\lg((x/a)^2)}{(\lg(t/a^2))^2} + o\left(\frac{1}{\lg t}\right) \right] \quad \text{for } 2t \lg(\lg t) \leq x^2 \leq 4t \lg(\lg t). \end{aligned}$$

In what follows we let $a = 1$. We need the following lemma from [14] in which $\nu = 0$.

Lemma 11 *For any ν , there is a constant $c = c_\nu > 0$ such that for all $x > 1$ and $t > 1$,*

$$q^{(d)}(x, t) \leq cp_{t+1}^{(d)}(x). \quad (29)$$

Proof. The proof follows from the parabolic Harnack inequality as in the case $\nu = 0$.

We use the fact that the Bessel process of order $\nu = 0$ is the radial process of the standard two dimensional Brownian motion $B_t^{(2)}$. Let $\mathbf{x}$ denote a generic point of $\mathbf{R}^2$ and $P_{\mathbf{x}}^{BM(2)}$ the probability of $B_t^{(2)}$ started at $\mathbf{x}$. We can suppose that the initial point $B_0^{(2)} = \mathbf{x}$ is on the upper vertical axis so that $\mathbf{x} = (0, x)$. Write ξ_t and Y_t for the horizontal and vertical components of $B_t^{(2)}$, respectively, and let T_K be the first hitting time of the vertical level K by $B_t^{(2)}$: $T_K = \inf\{t > 0 : Y_t = K\}$. Then the space-time distribution of (T_K, ξ_{T_K}) is given by

$$\frac{P_{(0,x)}^{BM(2)}[T_K \in dt, \xi_{T_K} \in d\xi]}{dt d\xi} = \frac{x-K}{t} p_t^{(1)}(x-K) p_t^{(1)}(\xi) \quad (30)$$

(cf. [7], page 25), which yields the representation

$$q(x, t) = \int_0^t ds \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x-K}{t-s} p_{t-s}^{(2)}(\sqrt{\xi^2 + (x-K)^2}) q(\sqrt{\xi^2 + K^2}, s) d\xi. \quad (31)$$

K may be any number between 1 and $x = |\mathbf{x}|$ but we suppose $4 \leq K < x/2$. With a fixed K we are to compute the repeated integral on the right-hand side by using the formula of Lemma 10. It is remarked that we make no use of Lemma 10 in the case when $x/t \rightarrow v > 0$.

We break the rest of the proof into four parts. For the case $x/t \rightarrow 0$ certain elaborate computations directly yield the desired formula of Proposition 9 (Parts 1 and 2). In the case $x/t \rightarrow v \neq 0$, on the other hand, we first show the existence of limit of the ratio $q(x, t)/p_t(x)$ (Part 3). While it is difficult to identify the limit along the same line as in the case $x/t \rightarrow 0$, with its existence at hand another way determines the limit (Part 4).

Throughout the proof we suppose that for some $M > 0$,

$$\sqrt{t} < x < Mt.$$

The constant $K \geq 4$ may be fixed arbitrarily prior to Part 3, in which we need to take K large enough, so we do not assign K a specific value. We write p_t, q for $p_t^{(2)}, q^{(2)}$ to be consistent to our convention that the super-script (d) is dropped, while we continue to write $p_t^{(\kappa)}$ if $\kappa \neq d$. We put

$$I_{b,c} = I_{b,c}(x, t) := \int_b^c ds \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x-K}{t-s} p_{t-s}(\sqrt{\xi^2 + (x-K)^2}) q(\sqrt{\xi^2 + K^2}, s) d\xi,$$

the contribution to the integral in (31) from the interval $b < s < c$.

Part 1: Estimation of $I_{c,t}$. Here c is a constant not less than 4. In the identity $p\alpha^2 + q\beta^2 = pq(\alpha - \beta)^2 + (p\alpha + q\beta)^2$, where $p, q \in \mathbf{R}$ with $p + q = 1$ and α, β may be vectors in any Euclidian space, take $p = (t-s)/t$ and divide both sides of it by

$$T = pqt = \frac{s(t-s)}{t}$$

to obtain

$$\frac{1}{s}\alpha^2 + \frac{1}{t-s}\beta^2 = \frac{1}{t}(\beta - \alpha)^2 + \frac{1}{T}\left(\alpha + \frac{s}{t}(\beta - \alpha)\right)^2. \quad (32)$$

Then substituting the two-dimensional vectors $\alpha = (\xi, K), \beta = (\xi, K - x)$ leads to

$$p_{t-s}(\sqrt{\xi^2 + (x-K)^2}) p_s(\sqrt{\xi^2 + K^2}) = \frac{1}{2\pi T} p_t(x) e^{-\xi^2/2T} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2T}\left(K - \frac{s}{t}x\right)^2\right]. \quad (33)$$

In the repeated integral of $I_{c,t}$ we split the range of integration w.r.t. ξ at $\xi = \pm\sqrt{4s\lg\lg s}$. We claim that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{c,t} = & p_t(x) \int_c^t \frac{x-K}{(t-s)\sqrt{T}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2T}\left(K-\frac{s}{t}x\right)^2\right\} \times \\ & \times \left[\int_{\sqrt{\xi^2+K^2} < \sqrt{4s\lg\lg s}} \frac{\lg(\xi^2+K^2)}{(\lg s)^2\sqrt{T}} e^{-\xi^2/2T} d\xi \right. \\ & \left. + R(s,t) + O\left(\frac{1}{(\lg s)^2}\right) \right] ds, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where $R(s,t)$, the term that comes from the remainder term in Lemma 10, is $o(1/\lg s)$. For the part $|\xi| \geq \sqrt{4s\lg\lg s}$ we have only to substitute the expression of q given in Lemma 10 and apply (33) (note that the bound in Lemma 10 actually holds uniformly for $t \geq 4$ simply because $q(x,t)$ is bounded there). For the integral on $|\xi| \geq \sqrt{4s\lg\lg s}$ we need to take p_{s+1} in place of p_s in (33) so that the corresponding contribution to $I_{c,t}$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} p_{t+1}(x) \int_c^t \frac{x-K}{(t-s)\sqrt{T'}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2T'}\left(K-\frac{s+1}{t+1}x\right)^2\right\} \times \\ \times \int_{\sqrt{\xi^2+K^2} \geq \sqrt{4s\lg\lg s}} \frac{e^{-\xi^2/2T'}}{\sqrt{T'}} d\xi ds, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

where $T' = (s+1)(t-s)/(t+1)$; since the inner integral is $O(1/(\lg s)^2)$ uniformly in t , a simple change of variable gives the error term in (34). Thus we have verified the claim.

Scaling the variable ξ by $\sqrt{s}$ and dominating $e^{-\xi^2/2T}$ by $e^{-\xi^2/2s}$ if necessary we see that the quantity in the big square brackets is evaluated to be

$$\frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\lg s} + O\left(\frac{1}{(\lg s)^2\sqrt{(t-s)/t}}\right) + R(s,t). \quad (36)$$

We must compute the integral

$$J := \int_c^t \frac{x-K}{(t-s)\sqrt{T}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2T}\left(K-\frac{s}{t}x\right)^2\right\} \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\lg s} ds. \quad (37)$$

Now we suppose $x/t < 1/2$ so that $\lg t/x > \lg 2$ and take $c = 4$. By a simple change of the variables of integration, e.g., according to $u = (x/t)\sqrt{s}$, which transforms s/t to u^2t/x^2 one can easily find that $J \sim p_t(x)\pi/\lg(t/x)$ as $x/t \rightarrow 0$, $t/x^2 \rightarrow 0$ (which is enough for Theorem 3 restricted to the case $x/t \rightarrow 0$). But this way does not give the error estimate asserted in Proposition 9. To improve the evaluation of the integral we transform the variable of integration by

$$\sigma = \frac{s}{t-s} \quad \left(\text{i.e., } s = \frac{t\sigma}{1+\sigma}\right), \quad (38)$$

entailing the relation $ds = (t-s)^2 d\sigma/t = (t-s)\sqrt{T/t\sigma} d\sigma$.

Noting the inequalities

$$0 < \frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{t\sigma} \leq \frac{2}{t-s} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{T} \cdot \frac{sx}{t} = \frac{x}{t-s} < \frac{2x}{t} \vee \left(\frac{2}{x} \cdot \frac{x^2\sigma}{t}\right)$$

we may write the exponent appearing in the integral of (37) in the form

$$-\frac{1}{2T}\left(K-\frac{s}{t}x\right)^2 = -\frac{K^2}{2t\sigma} - (1-\delta)\frac{x^2\sigma}{2t} + O\left(\frac{x}{t}\right),$$

where $\delta = \delta(t, x, s, K)$ is a function of t, x, s, K that satisfies $0 < \delta < 4K/x$ (provided that $K < x$). Further transform the variable σ to $u = x\sqrt{\sigma/t}$. Then

$$\frac{x ds}{(t-s)\sqrt{t}} = \frac{x d\sigma}{\sqrt{t\sigma}} = 2du,$$

and we obtain

$$J = \int_{(x/t)\sqrt{c/(1-c/t)}}^{\infty} \frac{2\sqrt{2\pi}}{\lg[(t/x)^2 m(u)]} \exp\left(-\frac{K^2 x^2}{2t^2 u^2} - (1-\delta)\frac{u^2}{2}\right) du \left(1 + O\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)\right), \quad (39)$$

where $m(u) = u^2/(1 + u^2 t/x^2)$. We apply the inequality $|1 - 1/(1+r)| \leq |r| + r^2/(1+r)$ ($r > -1$) with $r = [\lg m(u)]/\lg(t^2/x^2)$ for which $(1+r)^{-1} \leq (\lg c)^{-1} \lg(t^2/x^2)$ to see that

$$\frac{2\sqrt{2\pi}}{\lg[(t/x)^2 m(u)]} = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\lg(t/x)} + O\left(\frac{1 + [\lg m(u)]^2}{[\lg(t/x)]^2}\right)$$

uniformly valid if u is confined to the range of integration. Owing to the identity

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-b/2u^2 - \lambda u^2/2} du = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\lambda}} e^{-\sqrt{2b\lambda}} \quad (\lambda > 0, b \geq 0) \quad (40)$$

and the bound $\int_0^{\infty} |\lg m(u)|^2 e^{-u^2/2} du \leq C$ the formula (39) reduces to

$$J = [\pi/\lg(t/x)][1 + O(1/\lg(t/x))]. \quad (41)$$

Taking the computation carried out right above into account one also observes that the contribution of the error term in (36) is $O(p_t(x)/[\lg(t/x)]^2)$ and concludes that uniformly for $\sqrt{t} < x < t/2$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$I_{c,t} = p_t(x) \left[\frac{\pi}{\lg(t/x)} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\lg(t/x)}\right) + \tilde{R} \right) \right], \quad (42)$$

where $\tilde{R}$, the term corresponding to $R(s, t)$, is $o(1)$.

Part 2: Estimation of $I_{0,c}$. The integral $I_{0,c}(t, x)$ is dominated by

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_{\sqrt{\xi^2 + K^2}}[\tau_1 < c] \sup_{0 < s < c} \frac{x-K}{t-s} p_{t-s}(\sqrt{\xi^2 + (x-K)^2}) d\xi \\ & \leq C\sqrt{c} e^{-K^2/2c} \frac{x}{tK} p_t(x-K) \leq [C' e^{-K^2/2c} e^{Kx/t}] p_t(x) \frac{x}{t}, \end{aligned}$$

where for the first inequality we have used the bound $P_y[\tau_1 < c] \leq C\sqrt{c} e^{-(y-1)^2/2c}/(y-1)$ for $y > 1$, a bound obtained from the one-dimensional result (cf. Lemma 3.2 of [14]). Combined with (42) this shows that $q(x, t)/p_t(x) = O(1/\lg t)$ at least for $\sqrt{2t \lg \lg t} < x \leq \sqrt{4t \lg \lg t}$. Using this bound instead of the second one of Lemma 10 we obtain

$$R(s, t) = O(1/(\lg s)^2)$$

so that $\tilde{R} = O(1/\lg(t/x))$. The proof of Proposition 9 is complete.

Part 3: Proof of convergence. Here we suppose $x/t \rightarrow v > 0$ and prove that there exists $\lim q(x, t)/p_t(x)$, the limit value depends only on v and the convergence is locally uniform in v . Here we use Lemma 11 but does not Lemma 10. With the help of (33) it gives

$$I_{K^2, t/2}(x, t) \leq C p_t(x) \int_{K^2}^{t/2} \frac{x}{t} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2(1-s'/t')} \left(\frac{K}{\sqrt{s'}} - \frac{x}{t'} \sqrt{s'} \right)^2 \right] \frac{ds}{\sqrt{s}}, \quad (43)$$

where $s' = s+1$, $t' = t+1$ and (33) is applied with $p_{s+1}(\sqrt{\xi^2 + K^2})$ in place of $p_s(\sqrt{\xi^2 + K^2})$ (see (35)). One observes that the integral above is at most $O(e^{-vK/4})$ (use e.g. (40)). Also a quite crude estimation shows $I_{t/2,t}(x, t) \leq Cp_t(x)e^{-(2K-x)^2/8t}$. Combined with the result of Part 2 these show that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ one can choose K large so that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty, x/t \rightarrow v} \left| \frac{q(x, t) - I_{c,K^2}(x, t)}{p_t(x)} \right| < \varepsilon. \quad (44)$$

Define $h_K(\xi, s)$ by

$$h_K(\xi, s) = q(\sqrt{\xi^2 + K^2}, s) / p_s(\sqrt{\xi^2 + K^2}).$$

By Lemma 11

$$h_K(\xi, s) \leq C \exp \left[\frac{\xi^2 + K^2}{2s(s+1)} \right]$$

and keeping this bound in mind we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{I_{c,K^2}(x, t)}{p_t(x)} \\ &= \frac{1}{p_t(x)} \int_c^{K^2} ds \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x-K}{t-s} p_{t-s}(\sqrt{\xi^2 + (x-K)^2}) p_s(\sqrt{\xi^2 + K^2}) h_K(\xi, s) d\xi \\ &= \int_c^{K^2} \frac{ds}{2\pi T} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x-K}{t-s} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2T} \left(\left(K - \frac{x}{t}s \right)^2 + \xi^2 \right) \right] h_K(\xi, s) d\xi \\ &\rightarrow v \int_c^{K^2} \frac{ds}{2\pi s} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[-\frac{(K-vs)^2}{2s} - \frac{\xi^2}{2s} \right] h_K(\xi, s) d\xi \end{aligned}$$

as $x/t \rightarrow v$. This together with (44) shows that $q(x, t)/p_t(x)$ is convergent and the limit value does not depend on the manner of x/t approaching to v . The required uniformity of the convergence is easily ascertained from the arguments made above.

Part 4: Identification of the limit. The proof rests on the identity

$$p_t(x) = \int_0^t q(x, t-s) p_s(1) ds. \quad (45)$$

which follows from the Markov property of the Bessel process and also from the identity (10). We may suppose that $x = tv$, $v \neq 0$. By Part 3

$$q(tv, t-s) = \lambda p_{t-s}(tv)(1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as } s/t \rightarrow 0, t \rightarrow \infty \quad (46)$$

for some constant $\lambda = \lambda(v) \geq 0$. Since

$$\frac{p_{t-s}(tv)p_s(1)}{p_t(tv)} = \frac{1}{2\pi s(1-s/t)} \exp \left(-\frac{v^2 s}{2(1-s/t)} - \frac{1}{2s} \right)$$

Substitution of (46) into (45) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\lambda} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p_t(tv)} \int_0^t p_{t-s}(tv) p_s(1) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \exp \left(-\frac{v^2 s}{2} - \frac{1}{2s} \right) \frac{ds}{s} \\ &= K_0(v)/\pi \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

(see [3], Eq(29) in page 146 for the last equality). Hence $\lambda = \pi/K_0(v)$ as desired. This completes the proof of Theorem 3 in the case when x/t is bounded. $\square$

REMARK 3. In the case $x/t \rightarrow v > 0$, to compute the value $\lim q(x, t)/p_t(x)$ along the same line as in Part 1 is hard since our knowledge of the behavior of $q((\xi, K), s)$ is poor for small values of s that significantly contributes to the integral of (31). This point would be well understood from the argument made in Part 3 above. One notes that from Part 2 we know only that $I_{0,c}(x, t)$ becomes small if K/c is large enough, while the error term $O(1/(\lg T)^2)$ in the estimate (34) depends on c .

3.2. The case $\nu > 0$.

Proposition 12 *Let $\nu > 0$. It holds that uniformly for $\sqrt{t} < x < t/2$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q^{(d)}(x, t, a)}{p_t^{(d)}(x)} - \frac{1}{G(a)} &= O\left(\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{d-2} \sqrt{\lg(t/x)}\right) \quad (0 < \nu < 1/2), \\ &= O(x/t) \quad (\nu > 1/2). \end{aligned}$$

We use the fact that the square of Bessel process of dimension $d > 2$ is the sum of those of two independent Bessel processes of dimensions 1 and $d' = d - 1$ ([10]). Let (Y_t) be the one-dimensional standard Brownian motion started at x and (ξ_t) the Bessel process of the dimension d' started at 0 and independent of (Y_t) . Then the law of the process (X_t^2) is the same as the law of $(\xi_t^2 + Y_t^2)$. Let $T_K = \inf\{t > 0 : Y_t = K\}$. Then in place of (30) we have

$$\frac{P[T_K \in dt, \xi_{T_K} \in d\xi]}{dt d\xi} = \frac{x - K}{t} p_t^{(1)}(x - K) p_t^{(d')}(\xi) c_{d'} \xi^{d-2} d\xi$$

so that

$$q(x, t) = \int_0^t ds \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x - K}{t - s} p_{t-s}^{(1)}(x - K) p_{t-s}^{(d')}(\xi) q(\sqrt{\xi^2 + K^2}, s) c_{d'} \xi^{d-2} d\xi. \quad (48)$$

The proof of Proposition 12 given below is analogous to the one given for $\nu = 0$ and we proceed parallel to the lines of the preceding proof.

Part 1: Estimation of $I_{c,t}$. We write $I_{b,c}(x, t)$ as before for the integral in (48) restricted on the interval $[b, c]$. The product $p_{t-s}^{(1)}(x - K) p_{t-s}^{(d')}(\xi)$ appearing in the integrand may be written as

$$p_{t-s}(\sqrt{\xi^2 + (x - K)^2}) = p_{t-s}(x - K) e^{-\xi^2/2(t-s)}.$$

which we further rewrite in the form

$$\left(\frac{t}{t-s}\right)^{d/2} p_t(x - K) \exp\left\{-\frac{(x - K)^2 s}{2t(t-s)}\right\} e^{-\xi^2/2(t-s)}. \quad (49)$$

We split the range of ξ -integration at $\xi = \pm\sqrt{4s \lg s}$ in the repeated integral of $I_{c,t}$. The integral on $|\xi| \geq \sqrt{4s \lg s}$ is disposed of by employing Lemma 11 as before (see (35)). As for the integral on the other part we first evaluate the contribution of the term $(\xi^2 + K^2)^{-\nu} p_s(1)/G(1)$, which, on using (49), is dominated by a constant multiple of

$$R_1 := p_t(x) \int_c^t ds \frac{x t^{d/2} p_s(1)}{(t-s)^{d/2+1}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(x - K)^2 s}{2t(t-s)}\right\} \int_{|\xi| < \sqrt{4s \lg s}} e^{-\xi^2/2(t-s)} d\xi.$$

It is convenient to split the outer integral at $s = t/2$ and let $R_1^>$ and $R_1^<$ be the parts corresponding to $s > t/2$ and $s \leq t/2$, respectively. By performing the ξ -integration and changing the variable by $u = t - s$ we deduce

$$R_1^> \leq C p_t(x) \int_0^{t/2} \frac{x}{u^{(d+1)/2}} e^{-x^2/4u} du \leq C'' p_t(x) x^{2-d}.$$

For the evaluation of $R_1^<$ we replace the integrand by unity in the inner integral and have the bound

$$R_1^< \leq \frac{C p_t(x) x}{t} \int_c^{t/2} \frac{\sqrt{\lg s}}{s^{(d-1)/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{x^2 s}{2t^2} \right\} ds.$$

Since the integral on the right-hand side is evaluated to be $O\left((x/t)^{d-3} \sqrt{\lg t/x}\right)$ or $O(1)$ according as $\nu < 1/2$ or $\nu > 1/2$, by taking account of the estimate for $R_1^>$ obtained above we deduce that

$$R_1 \leq C' p_t(x) \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{d-2} \sqrt{\lg \frac{t}{x}} \quad \text{if } \nu < \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad R_1 \leq C' p_t(x) \frac{x}{t} \quad \text{if } \nu > \frac{1}{2}. \quad (50)$$

Let $0 < \nu < 1/2$. Then, in a similar way to the above, we evaluate the contribution of the error term in (3), denoted by R_2 and make decomposition $R_2 = R_2^> + R_2^<$. For $R_2^>$ we note that $\int_{\mathbf{R}} e^{-\xi^2/2(t-s)} \xi^{d-2} d\xi = O((t-s)^{(d-1)/2})$ and deduce that

$$|R_2^>| \leq C p_t(x) x t^{-\nu} \int_0^{t/2} u^{-3/2} e^{-x^2/4u} du \leq C' p_t(x) t^{-\nu};$$

also

$$\begin{aligned} |R_2^<| &\leq \frac{C p_t(x) x}{t} \int_c^{t/2} \frac{e^{-(x^2/2t^2)s} ds}{s^{d/2+\nu}} \int_0^{\sqrt{4s \lg s}} \xi^{d-2} d\xi \\ &= \frac{C p_t(x) x}{t} \int_c^t \frac{(\lg s)^{(d-1)/2}}{s^{(d-1)/2}} e^{-(x^2/2t^2)s} ds \leq C' p_t(x) \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{d-2} \sqrt{\lg \frac{t}{x}}, \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

so that

$$|R_2| \leq C''' p_t(x) \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{d-2} \sqrt{\lg \frac{t}{x}}. \quad (52)$$

Putting $T = s(t-s)/t$ we have in place of (33)

$$p_{t-s}(\sqrt{\xi^2 + (x-K)^2}) p_s(\sqrt{\xi^2 + K^2}) = p_t(x) p_T^{(d')}(\xi) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2T} \left(K - \frac{s}{t} x \right)^2 \right].$$

Applying this together with (50) and (52) and making use of Lemma 11 in the same manner as before we find that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{c,t} &= \frac{p_t(x)}{G(1)} \int_c^t \frac{x-K}{(t-s)\sqrt{2\pi T}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2T} \left(K - \frac{s}{t} x \right)^2 \right\} \times \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_{\sqrt{\xi^2 + K^2} < \sqrt{4s \lg s}} p_T^{(d')}(\xi) c_{d'} \xi^{d-2} d\xi + O\left(\frac{1}{s}\right) \right] ds \\ &\quad + O\left(p_t(x) \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{d-2} \sqrt{\lg \frac{t}{x}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The quantity in the big square brackets may be evaluated to be $1 + O\left(1/s[(t-s)/t]^{(d-1)/2}\right)$. In order to evaluate the whole integral we employ the transformation (38) and follow the succeeding arguments up to (41). We then conclude that

$$I_{c,t} = \frac{p_t(x)}{G(1)} \left[1 + O\left(\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{d-2} \sqrt{\lg \frac{t}{x}}\right) \right].$$

Let $\nu > 1/2$. Then, the integral of the third member in (51) becomes bounded, so that we have $|R_2^<| \leq C' p_t(x)x/t$ in place of the bound given therein. The other computations may be carried out in a similar way and we obtain $I_{c,t} = [p_t(x)/G(1)](1 + O(x/t))$.

Part 2: Estimation of $I_{0,c}$. The same computation as before gives the same bound of $I_{0,c}$ (but here $p_t = p_t^{(d)}$), which is sufficient for the present purpose. Thus Proposition 12 has been proved.

Part 3: Proof of convergence. The proof is quite similar to the one given for $\nu = 0$. The bound (43) and hence the relation (44) holds true without any alteration except that here q and p_t are defined with $d > 2$. Define $h_K(\xi, s)$ as before. Then

$$\frac{I_{c,K^2}(x, t)}{p_t(x)} \longrightarrow v \int_a^{K^2} \frac{ds}{(2\pi s)^{d/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[-\frac{(K - vs)^2}{2s} - \frac{\xi^2}{2s} \right] h_K(\xi, s) c_{d'} \xi^{d-2} d\xi$$

as $x/t \rightarrow v$ and as before we conclude the desired convergence.

Part 4. Let $\lambda_d(v)$ be the limit of $q(x, t)/p_t(x)$ as $x/t \rightarrow v > 0$. The functional equation (45) holds true for all $\nu > 0$ in view of the corresponding identity for the Laplace transforms. In place of (47) we then have that if $x/t \rightarrow v$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\lambda_d(v)} &= \lim \int_0^t \frac{p_{t-s}(tv) p_s(1)}{p_t(tv)} ds = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_0^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{v^2 s}{2} - \frac{1}{2s} \right) \frac{ds}{s^{d/2}} \\ &= 2v^{d/2-1} K_{d/2-1}(v) / (2\pi)^{d/2}, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\lambda_d(v) = \Lambda_d(v)$. Thus we conclude the formula of Theorem 3. $\square$

4 Proof of Theorem 3 (the case $x/t \rightarrow \infty$)

In the following result we slightly refine the estimate asserted in Theorem 3 in the case $x/t \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition 13 *For each $\nu \geq 0$, as both x/t and t tend to infinity*

$$q^{(d)}(x, t) = \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} \exp \left(-\frac{(x-1)^2}{2t} \right) \left[1 + O\left(\frac{t}{x}\right) \right]. \quad (53)$$

Our proof rests on the formula (6) and is broken into three parts. We begin with verification of the formula (6). In view of the formula (6) the situation is distinguished whether ν is less than $1/2$ or not. The cases $\nu > 1/2$ and $\nu < 1/2$ are treated in the second and third parts, respectively.

4.1. Proof of Lemma 6

Let

$$\gamma(x) = \frac{d-1}{2x}.$$

By the Cameron-Martin-Girsanov formula

$$\int_{t-h}^t q^{(d)}(x, s) ds = P_x[t-h \leq \tau_a < t] = E_x^{BM}[Z(\tau_a); t-h \leq \tau_a < t] \quad (54)$$

for $0 < h < t$, where E_x^{BM} indicates the expectation w.r.t. the probability measure of the one-dimensional Brownian motion B_t started at x , τ_a is the first passage time for B_t and

$$Z(t) = e^{\int_0^t \gamma(B_s) dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \gamma^2(B_s) ds}.$$

By Ito's formula

$$\int_0^t \frac{1}{B_s} dB_s = \lg \frac{B_t}{B_0} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{ds}{B_s^2} \quad (t < \tau_0).$$

Hence

$$Z(\tau_a) = \left(\frac{a}{B_0}\right)^{(d-1)/2} \exp \left[\frac{(d-1)(3-d)}{8} \int_0^{\tau_a} \frac{ds}{B_s^2} \right],$$

and, noting

$$P_x^{BM}[t-h \leq \tau_a < t] = \int_{t-h}^t q^{(1)}(x-a, s) ds,$$

we deduce from (54) the equality

$$q^{(d)}(x, t) = \left(\frac{a}{x}\right)^{(d-1)/2} E_x^{BM} \left[e^{\beta \int_0^t B_s^{-2} ds} \middle| \tau_a = t \right] q^{(1)}(x-a, t)$$

($\beta = (d-1)(3-d)/8 = (1-4\nu^2)/8$), which is the same as (6). $\square$

4.2. The case $\nu \geq 1/2$.

Let $\nu > 1/2$ so that $\beta < 0$. It suffices to show

$$E_x^{BM} \left[e^{\beta \int_0^t B_s^{-2} ds} \middle| \tau_a = t \right] = 1 + O(t/x) \quad (55)$$

as $x/t \rightarrow \infty$. Put

$$v = x/t \quad \text{and} \quad J_t(x) = E_x^{BM} \left[e^{\beta \int_0^t B_s^{-2} ds} \middle| \tau_a = t \right].$$

Then, by the strong Markov property of Brownian motion

$$J_t(x) = \int_a^\infty J_{1/v}(y) E_x^{BM} \left[e^{\beta \int_0^{t-1/v} B_s^{-2} ds}; \tau_a > t - \frac{1}{v}, B_{t-1/v} \in dy \right] \frac{q^{(1)}(y-a, 1/v)}{q^{(1)}(x-a, t)}.$$

By bringing in the measure

$$\mu(dy) = \mu_{t,v}(dy) = \frac{q^{(1)}(y-a, 1/v)}{q^{(1)}(x-a, t)} P_x^{BM}[B_{t-1/v} \in dy],$$

this may be written as

$$J_t(x) = \int_a^\infty J_{1/v}(y) E_y^{BM} \left[e^{\beta \int_0^{t-1/v} B_s^{-2} ds}; \tau_a > t - \frac{1}{v} \middle| B_{t-1/v} = x \right] \mu(dy). \quad (56)$$

An elementary (but careful) computation shows that

$$\mu(dy) = \frac{y-a}{\sqrt{2\pi/v}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}[y-(a+1)]^2 v - \frac{y^2}{2t}(1+o(1))\right)(1+o(1))dy \quad (57)$$

with $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $v \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in $y > a$, entailing that μ converges to the unit measure concentrated at $y = a+1$ in the limit as $v \rightarrow \infty$.

For each non-random $t_0 > 0$ the conditional law $P_b^{BM}[\cdot | B_{t_0} = x]$ is the same as the law under P_0^{BM} of

$$\left(b(1-s/t_0) + s(x-B_{t_0})/t_0 + B_s\right)_{0 \leq s \leq t_0}. \quad (58)$$

Combining this with the well known fact that may read

$$P_{a+1}^{BM}[B_t + vs < a + 2^{-1}vs \text{ for some } s > 0] = e^{-v},$$

we infer that

$$E_{a+1}^{BM}[B_s + vs > a + 2^{-1}vs \text{ for } 0 < s < t - \frac{1}{v}; \tau_a > t - \frac{1}{v} | B_{t-1/v} = x] = 1 + O(1/v).$$

If the event in this conditional probability occurs, we have $\int_0^{t-1/v} B_s^{-2} ds \leq 2/av$. Hence

$$E_{a+1}^{BM}[e^{\beta \int_0^{t-1/v} B_s^{-2} ds}; \tau_a > t - \frac{1}{v} | B_{t-1/v} = x] = 1 + O(1/v).$$

This remains true if the initial position $a+1$ is replaced by any $y > 1+a/2$. Therefore, with the help of (57) and the trivial relation $J_{1/v}(y) = 1 + O(1/v)$ valid uniformly in $y > a$ we conclude (55) from (56).

4.3. The case $0 \leq \nu < 1/2$.

Here we have $\beta > 0$ and we must evaluate the conditional expectation appearing in (55) from above, the lower bound being trivial. To this end we apply the Kac formula and resort to the exact solution of a certain differential equation. In view of (56) and the result mentioned right after (57) it suffices to show that for all $y > a$

$$W := E_y^{BM}\left[\exp\left\{\beta \int_0^{t-1/v} \frac{ds}{B_s^2}\right\}; \tau_a > t - \frac{1}{v} \middle| B_{t-1/v} = x\right] \leq 1 + \frac{C_0}{v}$$

provided v is large enough, where $v = x/t$ as in 4.2.

In order to obtain a tractable upper bound of W we discard the condition for non-absorption up to time $t - 1/v$ and at the same time replace B_s by $(B_s \vee a)$ in the integral in the exponent: also, we express the conditional expectation by means of the unconditional realization of Brownian bridge given in (58) and thereafter restrict the range of the expectation to the event

$$|B_{t-1/v}| \leq \sqrt{v} t.$$

Then, using the monotonicity of the function $x \vee a$ we obtain

$$W \leq E_y^{BM}\left[\exp\left\{\beta \int_0^t \frac{ds}{(B_s + (v - 2\sqrt{v})s) \vee a}\right\}\right] + Ce^{(\beta/a^2)t - vt/2}$$

for all sufficiently large v . For a positive number v_* put

$$U(y, t; v_*) = E_y^{BM}\left[\exp\left\{\beta \int_0^t \frac{ds}{[(B_s + v_*s) \vee a]^2}\right\}\right] \quad (t \geq 0, y \in \mathbf{R}).$$

Then $W \leq U(y, t; v_*) + Ce^{-x/4}$ if $v_* \leq v - 2\sqrt{v}$ and we have only to show that $U(y, t; v_*) = 1 + O(1/v_*)$ uniformly for $y > a$.

For each v_* fixed, the function $U(y, t) = U(y, t; v_*)$ is a unique solution of the parabolic equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}U = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}U + v_*\frac{\partial}{\partial y}U + \frac{\beta}{(y \vee a)^2}U \quad (t > 0, y \in \mathbf{R})$$

that is uniformly bounded on each finite t -interval and satisfying the initial condition $U(y, +0) = 1$. It also satisfies the boundary condition $U(+\infty, t) = 1$. In view of this, we consider a stationary solution $S(y) = S(y; v_*)$ that satisfies $S(+\infty) = 1$. For $y \geq a$, on the one hand, it is given by

$$S(y; v_*) = \sqrt{2\pi v_* y} e^{-v_* y} [I_\nu(v_* y) + \theta K_\nu(v_* y)],$$

for some constant $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$. On the other hand we have two independent solutions $e^{\alpha_+(y-a)}$ and $e^{\alpha_-(y-a)}$ on $(-\infty, a]$ (for $v_* > \sqrt{2\beta/a}$), where $\alpha_\pm = -v_* \pm \sqrt{v_*^2 - 2\beta/a^2}$, so that for some constants A_+ and A_- ,

$$S(y; v_*) = A_+ e^{\alpha_+(y-a)} + A_- e^{\alpha_-(y-a)}.$$

For the present purpose we have only to consider, as it turns out shortly, a solution with $\theta = 0$, for which the continuity of $S(y)$ and $S'(y)$ at the joint a enforces

$$A_+ = \frac{\alpha_- S(a+) - S'(a+)}{\alpha_- - \alpha_+} \quad \text{and} \quad A_- = \frac{-\alpha_+ S(a+) + S'(a+)}{\alpha_- - \alpha_+}.$$

We have the asymptotic formula

$$\sqrt{2\pi z} e^{-z} I_\nu(z) = 1 + \beta z^{-1} + 2^{-1}(1 + \beta)\beta z^{-2} + O(z^{-3}) \quad (z \rightarrow +\infty);$$

we need to have the asymptotic form of the derivative, for which however we may simply differentiate term-wise ([2], p.21). Hence, uniformly for $y \geq a$, as $v_* \rightarrow \infty$

$$S(y) = 1 + \frac{\beta}{y v_*} + O((y v_*)^{-2}) \quad \text{and} \quad S'(y) = -\frac{\beta}{y^2 v_*} - \frac{(1 + \beta)\beta}{y^3 v_*^2} + O(v_*^{-3}).$$

From this as well as $\alpha_+ = -\beta/a^2 v_* + O(1/v_*^3)$ we can readily infer that both of $A_\pm$ are positive and in particular $S(y; v_*) > 1$ for all y , provided that v_* is large enough. By a simple comparison argument we conclude that $U(y, t) \leq S(y)$; in particular, $U(y, t) = 1 + O(1/v)$ as desired. The proof of Proposition 13 is finished.

REMARK 4. The case $x/t \rightarrow \infty$, $0 \leq \nu < 1/2$ can also be effectively analyzed by a formula obtained in [5], which for $a = 1$ may read

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t q(x, s) ds &= \int_0^t \frac{x-1}{\sqrt{2\pi s^3}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-1)^2}{2s}\right) ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^t \frac{x-1}{\sqrt{2\pi s^3}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-1)^2}{2s}\right) ds \int_0^\infty \frac{L_x(y)}{y} e^{-y(x-1)\sqrt{t/s}} dy, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$L_x(y) = \frac{I_\nu(xy)K_\nu(y) - I_\nu(y)K_\nu(xy)}{[K_\nu(y)]^2 + \pi^2 I_\nu(y)I_{-\nu}(y)} \quad (y > 0) \quad \text{and} \quad m(x) = \int_0^\infty L_x(y) e^{-(x-1)y} \frac{dy}{y}.$$

(In [5] this is proved also for $1/2 < \nu < 3/2$ and similar equalities are obtained for the other values of $\nu \in \mathbf{R}$.)

References

- [1] Z. Ciesielski and S. J. Taylor, First passage times and sojourn times for Brownian motion in space and the exact Hausdorff measure of the sample path, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **103**, 434-450 (1962).
- [2] A. Erdélyi, *Asymptotic expansions*, Dover Publication Inc. N.Y. (1956)
- [3] A. Erdélyi, et al, *Tables of integral transforms*, vol. I, McGraw-Hill, Inc. (1954)
- [4] R.K. Gettoor and M. J. Sharpe, Excursions of Brownian motion and Bessel processes, *Z. Wahr. Ver. Geb.* **47**, 83-106 (1979).
- [5] Y. Hamana and H. Matumoto, The probability distributions of the first hitting times of Bessel processes, to appear in *TAMS.*, available at [arXiv:1106.6132](https://arxiv.org/abs/1106.6132).
- [6] Y. Hamana and H. Matumoto, The probability densities of the first hitting times of Bessel processes, to appear in *Journal of Math-for-industry*.
- [7] K. Itô and H.P. McKean, Jr, *Diffusion processes and their sample paths*. Springer, (1965)
- [8] J. Kent, Eigenvalue expansion for diffusion hitting times, *Z. Wahr. Ver. Geb.* **52**, 309-319 (1980).
- [9] N.N. Lebedev, *Special functions and their applications*, Prentice-Hall, Inc. (1965)
- [10] D. Revuz and M. Yor, *Continuous martingales and Brownian motion*, 3rd ed., Springer. 1999.
- [11] F. Spitzer, Some theorems concerning 2-dimensional Brownian motion, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **87**, 187-197 (1958).
- [12] K. Uchiyama, The first hitting time of a single point for random walks, *Elect. J. Probab.* **16**, no. 71, 1160-2000 (2011).
- [13] K. Uchiyama, Asymptotic estimates of the distribution of Brownian hitting time of a disc, *J. Theor. Probab.*, **25**, 450-463 (2012). DOI 10.1007/s10959-010-0305-8. / Erratum, *J. Theor. Probab.* **25**, issue 3, 910-911 (2012), DOI 10.1007/s10959-012-0439-y.
- [14] K. Uchiyama, The expected area of Wiener sausage swept by a disk. preprint
- [15] K. Uchiyama, The expected area of Wiener sausage for Brownian bridge joining the origin to a point outside a parabolic region, preprint.
- [16] G. N. Watson, *A treatise on the theory of Bessel functions*, 2nd ed., Reprinted by Springer (1995).